Response to Rule 312 Communicati n	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,054	COHEN, LORI ADAMS		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jamila O Williams	3712		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss –	
		·		
4 M The annual control of the decision of the	0401			
<ol> <li>The amendment filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> under 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>a) ☐ entered.</li> </ol>	.312 has been considered, an	d has been:		
b) antered as directed to matters of form not affecting	a the scene of the invention			
<ul> <li>c)  disapproved because the amendment was filed af</li> <li>Any amendment filed after the date the issue fe</li> </ul>	· •		313(c)(1)	
and the required fee to withdraw the application			,10(0)(1)	
d) 🗵 disapproved. See explanation below.				
e)  entered in part. See explanation below.				
The 312 amendment has not been entered because the o	ohangaa haya baan incomor	tod via avaminada amandmant		
The 312 amendment has not been entered because the C	nanges have been incorpora	teu via examiners amenument.		

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700